

THE GLOBAL TRADE LAW JOURNAL

Volume 2, Number 2

March–April 2025

Editor's Note: Trade Sanctions

Victoria Prussen Spears

China, the United States, and the Rivalry Over the Imposition of Unilateral Trade Sanctions

Lester Ross and Kenneth Zhou

United Kingdom's Office of Trade Sanctions Implementation: New Trade Sanctions Enforcement Powers

Alan Kartashkin, Satish M. Kini, Karolos Seeger, Konstantin Bureiko, Anastasia Magid, Sophie Michalski, and Olivia Halderthay

Electronic Trade Documents Under English Law

Hei Zuqing

The European Commission's Draft Guidelines on Exclusionary Abuses: Toward Stricter Enforcement?

Karel Bourgeois, Karl Stas, and Benjamin Geisel

With Ecodesign for Sustainable Products Regulation, European Union Will Impose Ecodesign Requirements on Virtually All Products Sold in the EU

Nicolas J.S. Lockhart, Dominic Coppens, Stella Perantakou, Anna-Shari Melin, Maryanne W. Kamau, and Michele Tagliaferri

United States Implements Plurilateral Export Controls Framework and Additional Controls on Semiconductor, Quantum, and Additive Manufacturing Items

Kimberly A. Strosnider, Peter Lichtenbaum, Eric Carlson, Eric Sandberg-Zakian, Stephen C. Bartenstein, Lisa Ann Johnson, and Kwan Kim

U.S. Department of Commerce's Bureau of Industry and Security Enhances Its Enforcement Discretion: Revamps Voluntary Self-Disclosure Process, Abolishes Penalty Caps, and Amends Penalty Guidelines

Francesca M.S. Guerrero, Samir D. Varma, Aaron C. Mandelbaum, and Scott E. Diamond

U.S. Trade Representative Finalizes Action on New and Increased Section 301 Tariffs

Ronald A. Oleynik, Andrew K. McAllister, and Sophie Jin

THE GLOBAL TRADE LAW JOURNAL

Volume 2, No. 2

March–April 2025

- 71 Editor’s Note: Trade Sanctions**
Victoria Prussen Spears
- 75 China, the United States, and the Rivalry Over the Imposition of Unilateral Trade Sanctions**
Lester Ross and Kenneth Zhou
- 81 United Kingdom’s Office of Trade Sanctions Implementation: New Trade Sanctions Enforcement Powers**
Alan Kartashkin, Satish M. Kini, Karolos Seeger, Konstantin Bureiko, Anastasia Magid, Sophie Michalski, and Olivia Halderthay
- 87 Electronic Trade Documents Under English Law**
Hei Zuqing
- 109 The European Commission’s Draft Guidelines on Exclusionary Abuses: Toward Stricter Enforcement?**
Karel Bourgeois, Karl Stas, and Benjamin Geisel
- 121 With Ecodesign for Sustainable Products Regulation, European Union Will Impose Ecodesign Requirements on Virtually All Products Sold in the EU**
Nicolas J.S. Lockhart, Dominic Coppens, Stella Perantakou, Anna-Shari Melin, Maryanne W. Kamau, and Michele Tagliaferri
- 127 United States Implements Plurilateral Export Controls Framework and Additional Controls on Semiconductor, Quantum, and Additive Manufacturing Items**
Kimberly A. Strosnider, Peter Lichtenbaum, Eric Carlson, Eric Sandberg-Zakian, Stephen C. Bartenstein, Lisa Ann Johnson, and Kwan Kim
- 137 U.S. Department of Commerce’s Bureau of Industry and Security Enhances Its Enforcement Discretion: Revamps Voluntary Self-Disclosure Process, Abolishes Penalty Caps, and Amends Penalty Guidelines**
Francesca M.S. Guerrero, Samir D. Varma, Aaron C. Mandelbaum, and Scott E. Diamond
- 147 U.S. Trade Representative Finalizes Action on New and Increased Section 301 Tariffs**
Ronald A. Oleynik, Andrew K. McAllister, and Sophie Jin

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Steven A. Meyerowitz

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

Victoria Prussen Spears

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

Jen Fernandez

Partner

Sidley Austin LLP

Robert A. Friedman

Partner

Holland & Knight LLP

Geoffrey M. Goodale

Partner

Duane Morris LLP

Renée Latour

Partner

Clifford Chance

Britt Mosman

Partner

Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP

Anthony Rapa

Partner

Blank Rome LLP

Brooke M. Ringel

Partner

Kelley Drye & Warren LLP

Samir D. Varma

Partner

Thompson Hine LLP

Timothy C. Welch

Partner

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP

THE GLOBAL TRADE LAW JOURNAL (ISSN 2995-1089) at \$495.00 annually is published six times per year by Full Court Press, a Fastcase, Inc., imprint. Copyright 2025 Fastcase, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner.

For customer support, please contact Fastcase, Inc., 729 15th Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005, 202.999.4777 (phone), or email customer service at support@fastcase.com.

Publishing Staff

Publisher: Leanne Battle

Production Editor: Sharon D. Ray

Cover Art Design: Morgan Morrisette Wright and Sharon D. Ray

This journal's cover features a 1855 depiction of the American clipper ship *Red Jacket* on her journey from Melbourne, Australia, to Liverpool, England. The artwork was originally created by Charles Parsons and Joseph B. Smith, and later lithographed and published by Nathaniel Currier. It is reproduced courtesy of The Met Museum's public domain library.

Cite this publication as:

The Global Trade Law Journal (Fastcase)

This publication is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

Copyright © 2025 Full Court Press, an imprint of Fastcase, Inc.

All Rights Reserved.

A Full Court Press, Fastcase, Inc., Publication

Editorial Office

729 15th Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005

<https://www.fastcase.com/>

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE GLOBAL TRADE LAW JOURNAL, 729 15th Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005.

Articles and Submissions

Direct editorial inquiries and send material for publication to:

Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.,
26910 Grand Central Parkway, #18R, Floral Park, NY 11005, smeyerowitz@
meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541.

Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to international attorneys and law firms, in-house counsel, corporate compliance officers, government agencies and their counsel, senior business executives, and others interested in global trade law.

This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the Editorial Content appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please contact:

Leanne Battle, Publisher, Full Court Press at leanne.battle@vlex.com or at
202.999.4777

For questions or Sales and Customer Service:

Customer Service
Available 8 a.m.–8 p.m. Eastern Time
866.773.2782 (phone)
support@fastcase.com (email)

Sales
202.999.4777 (phone)
sales@fastcase.com (email)

ISSN 2995-1089

United Kingdom's Office of Trade Sanctions Implementation: New Trade Sanctions Enforcement Powers

Alan Kartashkin, Satish M. Kini, Karolos Seeger, Konstantin Bureiko,
Anastasia Magid, Sophie Michalski, and Olivia Halderthay*

In this article, the authors discuss new regulations that provide civil trade sanctions enforcement powers to the UK's Department for Business and Trade, acting through the new UK Office of Trade Sanctions Implementation.

The United Kingdom published the Trade, Aircraft and Shipping Sanctions (Civil Enforcement) Regulations 2024. The Regulations came into force on 10 October 2024 to give civil trade sanctions enforcement powers to the Department for Business and Trade (DBT) acting through the new UK Office of Trade Sanctions Implementation (OTSI).

The Regulations are supported by the Trade, Aircraft and Shipping Sanctions, Civil Enforcement Guidance issued on 12 September 2024 by the Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office, DBT, Department for Transport (DfT) and OTSI (the Guidance), which provides further detail on the enforcement framework set out in the Regulations.

The Regulations introduce new civil enforcement powers for breaches of trade-, aircraft-, and shipping-related sanctions. This brings trade sanctions in line with financial sanctions (where the Office of Financial Sanctions Implementation (OFSI) has held the power to impose civil penalties since 2017) and changes the landscape of trade sanctions enforcement, which has previously been handled by HM Revenue & Customs (HMRC).

New Enforcement Powers

Prior to the Regulations, HMRC was responsible for enforcement of trade sanctions. HMRC could investigate trade sanctions offences with one of two potential outcomes: a referral to criminal prosecution, or an offer to settle the matter through a compound

penalty. The compound penalty process has historically been highly opaque, with little publicly available information on how fines are calculated and with any published penalties being anonymous. Further, to be in a position to impose a compound penalty, HMRC needed to be satisfied that a trade sanctions breach is made out to a criminal standard of evidence.

The Regulations have changed the UK sanctions enforcement landscape, splitting responsibility for breaches across five different entities (and creating an additional enforcement route for “transport” sanctions, which are quickly becoming a stand-alone type of restriction):

- *OTSI*: OTSI becomes responsible for civil enforcement of all trade sanctions offences (unless specifically excluded). OTSI will impose monetary penalties on corporate bodies, as well as their directors, managers, secretaries, and similar officers. OTSI reserves a right to refer the case to the relevant law enforcement agencies (most likely, HMRC) for criminal investigation, which could result in a decision to refer a matter for potential prosecution.
- *DfT*: DfT will have civil enforcement powers similar to the powers of OTSI but with respect to aircraft- and shipping-related sanctions offences.
- *HMRC*: HMRC keeps its existing criminal enforcement powers and will refer to prosecution sufficiently grave offences of trade sanctions that it investigates upon OTSI’s referral. HMRC remains fully responsible for the enforcement of trade sanctions that fall within its remit as a UK customs authority or that relate to strategic export controls, such as sanctions regarding military and dual-use goods and technology.
- *HM Treasury and Ofcom*: OTSI’s civil enforcement powers do not cover areas where enforcement is already designated to His Majesty’s Treasury (HM Treasury) and Office of Communications (Ofcom). This includes financial sanctions, prohibitions on the maritime transportation of oil and petroleum products, and the provision of internet services.

Strict Liability

UK trade sanctions typically include a complete defence where you can show that you did not know and had no reasonable cause to suspect that you were participating in activities prohibited by the relevant restrictions, something a party could rely on in any criminal investigation conducted by HMRC.

The Regulations now grant OTSI the power to impose civil penalties on a strict liability basis. This means that to impose a penalty, OTSI will effectively only need to prove that, on the balance of probabilities, as a factual matter, a breach of the relevant trade restrictions occurred (for example, a product targeted by export restrictions was in fact exported to a prohibited destination).

OFSI, the financial sanctions enforcement arm of HM Treasury, has been applying the strict liability basis for enforcement of financial sanctions breaches since 2022. Thus, the liability standards for financial and trade sanctions enforcement are finally in alignment, both on the criminal and civil enforcement side.

Calculation of Fines

The maximum possible trade sanctions penalty that OTSI can impose is the greater of £1,000,000 or 50 percent of the established value of the breach. If the value is impossible to estimate, the permitted maximum is £1,000,000. This mirrors OFSI's civil penalties for financial sanctions breaches.

OTSI Guidance provides detail on the process for calculating a potential monetary penalty, including a non-exhaustive list of mitigating and aggravating factors. For example, OTSI will offer a reduction of up to 50 percent on a calculated penalty for timely voluntary disclosure, and will consider the following factors as additional mitigation: cooperation with OTSI information requests, no record of previous breaches, good standards of customer due diligence, and other relevant compliance systems and demonstration of steps taken to address a breach. OTSI has also stated that it will consider certain features to be aggravating, including “attempts to wrongly blame others” for a breach.

Disclosure

OTSI may publish reports where a breach of trade sanctions regulations has occurred. This could be a separate penalty in a case where a fine is not justified, or a penalty additional to a fine. Under OFSI's equivalent process, OFSI has to date published relatively detailed descriptions of the grounds for each civil penalty imposed (and, in one case, publishing a determination of breach with no accompanying penalty).

This development should provide significantly more transparency on trade sanctions enforcement within the United Kingdom, as compared to HMRC's approach of only providing very high-level anonymised summaries to accompany its compound penalties.

Reporting Obligations

The Regulations have also for the first time created mandatory reporting obligations for known or suspected breaches of UK trade sanctions.

Financial and legal services providers, as well as money service businesses, must inform OTSI as soon as practicable if, through the course of carrying on their business, they know or have cause to suspect that a person has committed a breach of trade sanctions.

OTSI has also been granted a power to request information from any person if OTSI believes that the person may be able to provide such information (which, again, mirrors OFSI's powers in connection with financial sanctions breaches). Such a request may include a requirement to provide specified documents or documents of a specified description. If the requested documents are not in the recipient's possession or control, the recipient is required to take only steps that are reasonable to obtain such requested documents.

A failure to comply with the reporting obligation or responding to an information request, or providing incorrect or misleading information, is a criminal offence.

Licences

Trade sanctions licences remain within the power of the Export Control Joint Unit, which, like OTSI, is a part of the DBT. In this sense, unlike OFSI, OTSI appears to be a purely enforcement

authority without the ability to issue general or individual licenses authorising otherwise prohibited conduct.

Conclusion

OTSI's new civil enforcement powers go a long way in filling the gap in the trade sanctions enforcement landscape and increasing the transparency of the trade sanctions enforcement process.

Establishing OTSI as a trade sanctions enforcement centre is reflective of an unprecedented growth of the trade sanctions and controls network in the past few years, especially with further escalation of Russia-related sanctions. It remains to be seen whether this development will result in a significant increase in the number of trade sanctions investigations and penalties.

At this stage, UK companies are encouraged to revisit their trade sanctions systems and control to ensure they can withstand the new level of scrutiny from OTSI.

Note

* Alan Kartashkin, Satish M. Kini, Karolos Seeger, Konstantin Bureiko, Anastasia Magid, and Sophie Michalski, attorneys with Debevoise & Plimpton LLP, may be contacted at akartashkin@debevoise.com, smkini@debevoise.com, kseeger@debevoise.com, kbureiko@debevoise.com, ammagid@debevoise.com, and smichalski@debevoise.com, respectively. Olivia Halderthay, a trainee associate at the firm, may be contacted at ohalderthay@debevoise.com.